

March 17, 2003

Ms. J. Middlebrooks Assistant City Attorney City of Dallas 2014 Main Street, Room 501 Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2003-1797

Dear Ms. Middlebrooks:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 178124.

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received a request for "any documents related to the new drug enforcement squads being created at the substations." You claim that a part of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Because you seek to withhold only a part of the information, we assume you have released the remainder. If not, you must do so at this time. See Gov't Code §§ 552.006, .301(a), .302. With regard to the information you seek to withhold, we have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides in pertinent part:

- (b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:
 - (1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution.

Gov't Code § 552.108(b)(1). This office has determined that procedural information related to law enforcement may, under some circumstances, be withheld under section 552.108 or

its statutory predecessors. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 531 (1989) (detailed use of force guidelines), 456 (1987) (forms indicating location of off-duty police officers), 413 (1984) (security measures to be used at next execution), 341 (1982) (Department of Public Safety drivers' licenses forgery detection procedures), 143 (1976) (specific operations or specialized equipment directly related to investigation or detection of crime). However, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 as an exception to disclosure must reasonably explain, if the information does not supply the explanation on its face, how releasing the information would interfere with law enforcement. See Open Records Decision No. 434 at 3(1986).

You explain that the submitted information is an internal record of the department that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to drug enforcement operations. You further explain that the release of this information "would interfere with the ability of [department] officers to effectively and safely carry out drug enforcement operations because it [would] give violators the specific course of action or techniques that officers use in the field to combat drug violations." Having reviewed your arguments and the submitted information, we agree that the release of the information would interfere with law enforcement or crime prevention. Accordingly, the department may withhold the submitted information from disclosure under section 552.108(b)(1).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor

should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Maverick F. Fisher

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Mann 5.55 in

Open Records Divisio

MFF/seg

Ref:

ID# 178124

Enc:

Submitted documents

c:

Ms. Tanya Eiserer The Dallas Morning News P.O. Box 655237

Dallas, Texas 75265 (w/o enclosures)